

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILI | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/627,933 | 07/25/2003 | | Adi Srinivasan | M-11985-1D US | 3659 |
| 32605 | 7590 | 03/24/2006 | | EXAM | IINER |
| MACPHER | | GARBOWS | GARBOWSKI, LEIGH M | | |
| 1762 TECHN SAN JOSE, | | DRIVE, SUITE : | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| B/11. JOBE, | 011)5110 | • | 2825 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 5/2 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/627,933 | SRINIVASAN, ADI | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Leigh Marie Garbowski | 2825 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 | 5 July 2003. | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-35</u> is/are pending in the applica | ition. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | frawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: | a)⊠ accepted or b) objected | to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | • | • | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached O | office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in Appl | lication No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the p | riority documents have been rec | ceived in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bur | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | list of the certified copies not rec | ceived. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Sheets | 4) Interview Sum | imary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | mai i atent Apphoation (i 10-132) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2825

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: figure 8D should be listed is paragraph [0015].

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 30 and 33-34 are objected to because of the following informalities: taking claim 30 as exemplary of claims 30 and 33, there is no antecedent basis for "level bins" [line 3], "node levels" [line 4], and "timing paths" [line 4]; as per claim 34, "said the" [line 2] is confusing. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrientos [U.S. Patent #5,896,301].

A method for selecting nodes to be optimized, comprising putting nodes into a plurality of criticality bins, wherein each criticality bin stores nodes with a corresponding range of minimum path slacks and a corresponding range of maximum path slack [column 21, line 43-column 22, line 32].

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malik et al. [U.S. Patent #6,449,756 B1].

A method for selecting nodes to be optimized, comprising putting nodes into a plurality of criticality bins, wherein each criticality bin stores nodes with a corresponding

Application/Control Number: 10/627,933 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

range of minimum path slacks and a corresponding range of maximum path slack [column 5, lines 21-29].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and including corrections to the objections made to claims 30 and 33-34.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: taking claim 25 as exemplary, the prior art of record does not disclose, teach or suggest criticality bins as specifically claimed, in combination with all of the features of the method recited in total; taking claim 28 as exemplary, although Malik et al. disclose updating slack bins [see at least column 5, lines 30-42], the prior art of record does not disclose, teach, or suggest selecting a criticality bin and putting nodes in said selected criticality bin into a number of a plurality of slack bins divided between a first minimum path slack and a second minimum path slack, in combination with all of the features of the method recited in total.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Marie Garbowski whose telephone number is 571-272-1893. The examiner can normally be reached on days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EIGH M. GARBOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER